arXiv:1601.02561v1 [math.GR] 11 Jan 2016

Comparing the order and the minimal number of generators of a transitive permutation group

Gareth Tracey*

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick,
Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

January 1, 2016

Abstract

We prove that if G is a transitive permutation group, then $d(G)\log|G|/n^2$ tends to 0 as n tends to ∞.

1 Introduction and preliminary results

1.1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(n)$ be the maximum of $d(G)\log|G|$ as G runs over the transitive permutation groups of degree n. Then $f(n)/n^2$ tends to 0 as n tends to ∞.*

The notation $d(G)$ denotes the minimal number of generators for G. All logs are to the base 2 unless otherwise stated.

Our strategy for the proof of the theorem will be to bound $d(G)\log|G|$, for a fixed transitive group G, in terms of the degrees of a set of primitive components for G, and another invariant $\mathrm{bl}_W(G)$ of G (we define $\mathrm{bl}_W(G)$, and the term *primitive components* in Section 1.3). The key result in this direction is Lemma 2.3, which we prove in Section 2. Section 1.2 and 1.3 contain required preliminary and elementary results, while Section 3 is reserved for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

1.2 Minimal generator numbers in transitive permutation groups

We begin with a bound on the minimal number of generators for a transitive permutation group of degree n.

*Electronic address: G.M.Tracey@warwick.ac.uk

Theorem 1.2 (**[6], Corollary 1.2**). *Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then $d(G) \leq \lfloor c_1 n/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$, where $c_1 := 0.920584\ldots$.*

When G is primitive, the bound for $d(G)$ is much sharper:

Theorem 1.3 (**[3], Theorem 1.1**). *Let H be a subnormal subgroup of a primitive permutation group of degree r. Then $d(H) \leq \lfloor \log r \rfloor$, except that $d(H) = 2$ when $r = 3$ and $H \cong S_3$.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will also need to improve the upper bound for $d(G)$ when G is imprimitive. Before stating the results we need, we introduce a definition: for a finite group R, and a transitive permutation group $S \leq \mathrm{Sym}\,(s)$, consider the wreath product $W = R \wr S$. Let $B := R_1 \times R_2 \times \ldots \times R_s$ be the base group of W, and let $\pi : W \to \mathrm{Sym}\,(s)$ be the projection onto the top group. Also, since $N_W(R_i) \cong R_i \times (R \wr \mathrm{Stab}_s(i))$, we may consider the projection maps $\rho_i : N_W(R_i) \to R_i$. Then say that a subgroup G of W is *large* if

(1) $\pi(G) = S$, and;

(2) $\rho_i(N_G(R_i)) = R_i$ for all i.

The results we need, both from [6], can now be stated as follows (here, $(t)_p$ denotes the p-part of the positive integer t):

Lemma 1.4 (**[6], Lemma 4.1 part (ii)**). *Let G be a finite group, H a subgroup of G of index $n \geq 2$, F a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and V a H-module of dimension a over F. Also, let T be a soluble subgroup of G, and let t_i, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, denote the lengths of the orbits of T on the set of right cosets of H in G. Let S be a submodule of the induced module $V\uparrow^G$. Then $d_G(S) \leq a \sum_{i=1}^{m} (t_i)_p$.*

Note that, for a finite group R, $a(R)$ denotes the composition length of R.

Proposition 1.5 (**[6], Corollary 5.6**). *Let R be a finite group, let S be a transitive permutation group of degree $s \geq 2$, and let G be a large subgroup in the wreath product $R \wr S$. Then*

(i) If $2 \leq s \leq 1260$, then $d(G) \leq \left\lfloor \dfrac{\widetilde{c}a(R)s}{\log s} \right\rfloor + d(\pi(G))$, where $\widetilde{c} := 2 \times 1.25506/\ln 2 = 3.621337\ldots$;

(ii) If $s \geq 1261$, then $d(G) \leq \left\lfloor \dfrac{a(R)b_1 s}{\sqrt{\log s}} \right\rfloor + d(\pi(G))$, where $b_1 := 2/\sqrt{\pi} = 1.2838\ldots$.

In order to use Proposition 1.5, we will also need an upper bound on the composition length of a primitive group, in terms of its degree. The bound we require is provided by the next theorem, which is stated slightly differently from how it is stated in [5].

Theorem 1.6 (**[5], Theorem 2.10**). *Let R be a primitive permutation group of degree $r \geq 2$, and set $c_0 := \log_9 48 + \frac{1}{3}\log_9 24 = 2.24399\ldots$. Then $a(R) \leq (2 + c_0)\log r - (1/3)\log 24$.*

Finally, we need the following theorem of Cameron, Solomon and Turull; note that we only give a simplified version of their result here.

Theorem 1.7 (**[2], Theorem 1**). *Let G be a permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then $a(R) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$.*

1.3 Orders of transitive permutation groups

We now turn to bounds on the order of a transitive permutation group G, of degree n. First, we define a function bl on G: If G is primitive, set $R_1 := G$ and $r_1 := n$. Otherwise, let $r_1 \geq 2$ denote the size of a minimal block for G. Then G is a large subgroup of the wreath product $R_1 \wr S_1$, where R_1 is primitive of degree r_1, and S_1 is transitive of degree $s_1 := n/r_1$. We can also iterate this process: either S_1 is primitive, or S_1 is a large subgroup in a wreath product $R_2 \wr S_2$, where R_2 is primitive of degree $r_2 \geq 2$, and S_2 is transitive of degree $s_2 := s_1/r_2$. Continuing in this way, we see that G is a subgroup in the iterated wreath product $R_1 \wr R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_t$, where each R_i is primitive of degree r_i say, and $\prod_i r_i = n$. We shall call $W = R_1 \wr R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_t$ a *primitive decomposition* of G, and the groups R_i will be called the *primitive components* of G associated to W. Furthermore, we will write π_i to denote the projection $\pi_i : G \leq (R_1 \wr R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_i) \wr (R_{i+1} \wr \ldots \wr R_t) \to R_{i+1} \wr \ldots \wr R_t$ (for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$).

For each i, set $d_i := r_i$ if $R_i \geq \mathrm{Alt}(r_i)$, and $d_i := 1$ otherwise. Now set $d' := \max_i d_i$, and $d := \max\{d', c_2\}$, where $c_2 := 2^{\frac{\log 95040}{11}} = 2.83489\ldots$. Finally, we define $\mathrm{bl}_W(G) := d$.

Before proceeding to the main result of this subsection, we require the following theorem of Maroti:

Theorem 1.8 (**[4], Corollary 1.4**). *Let G be a primitive permutation group of degree r, not containing* $\mathrm{Alt}(r)$. *Then $|G| \leq {c_2}^{r-1}$, where $c_2 := 2^{\frac{\log 95040}{11}} = 2.83489\ldots$.*

We can now prove the following:

Proposition 1.9. *Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree n, let $W = R_1 \wr \ldots \wr R_t$ be a primitive decomposition of G, where each R_i is primitive of degree r_i. Also, let $d := \mathrm{bl}_W(G)$ be as defined prior to Theorem 1.8. Then $|G| \leq d^n$.*

Proof. Working by induction on n, the claim follows when G is primitive, since either $d = n$; or $|G| \leq {c_2}^n$ (by Theorem 1.8). So assume that G is imprimitive, and let $r := r_1$, $R := R_1$, $S := \pi_1(G)$. Then S is transitive of degree $s := n/r$ (being a large subgroup of $R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_t$), and G is a large subgroup of the wreath product $R \wr S$. Suppose first that $R \geq \mathrm{Alt}(r)$. Then $|R| \leq r^{r-1} \leq d^{r-1}$. Also, the inductive hypothesis implies that $|S| \leq d^s$. Hence, $|G| \leq d^{(r-1)s} d^s = d^{rs}$, as needed. So assume that R is not the alternating or symmetric group of degree r. Then Theorem 1.8 implies that $|R| \leq {c_2}^{r-1} \leq d^{r-1}$. The claim now follows, as above, using the inductive hypothesis. □

2 Bounding $d(G)$ in terms of n and $\mathrm{bl}_W(G)$

Proposition 2.1. *Let n be a positive integer. Then the alternating group* $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$ *contains a soluble transitive subgroup.*

Proof. If n is odd, then the group generated by an n-cycle suffices, so assume that n is even, and write $n = 2^k r$, with r odd. Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(2^k)$, and let x be an r-cycle

in $\mathrm{Alt}(r)$. Then P is transitive, and the wreath product $P \wr \langle x \rangle$ (in its imprimitive action) is a soluble transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let H be a finite group with a subgroup H_1 of index $u \geq 2$, let V be a H_1-module of dimension a over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $U \leq \mathrm{Sym}(u)$ be the image of the induced action of H on the set of right cosets of H_1. If $U \in \{\mathrm{Alt}(u), \mathrm{Sym}(u)\}$, then each submodule of the induced module $V \uparrow_{H_1}^{H}$ can be generated by $2a$ elements.*

Proof. We claim that U contains a soluble subgroup T which has at most two orbits, and each orbit has p'-length. To see this, assume first that $p = 2$. Then since n is either odd, or a sum of two odd numbers, we can take $T := \langle x_1 x_2 \rangle$, where x_1 is a cycle of odd length, either $x_2 = 1$ or x_2 is a cycle of odd length, and n is the sum of the orders (i.e. lengths) of x_1 and x_2.

So assume that $p > 2$, and write $n = tp + k$, where $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. If $k \neq p-1$, then take T_1 to be a soluble transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(tp-1)$, and take T_2 to be a soluble transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(k+1)$ (the existence of these groups is guaranteed by Proposition 2.1). If $k = p-1$, then take T_1 to be a soluble transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(tp+1)$, and take T_2 to be a soluble transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(k-1)$ (note that $k - 1 > 0$ since $p > 2$). Finally, taking $T := T_1 \times T_2 \leq \mathrm{Alt}(n)$ give us what we need, and proves the claim.

The result now follows immediately from Lemma 1.4. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a finite group, let U and V be permutation groups of degree u and v respectively, and let S be a large subgroup of the wreath product $U \wr V$. Also, let G be a large subgroup of the wreath product $W := R \wr S$. If $U \in \{\mathrm{Alt}(u), \mathrm{Sym}(u)\}$, then $d(G) \leq 2a(R)v + d(S)$.*

Proof. Clearly we may assume that R is nontrivial. Let B denote the base group of W, so that $G \cong R^{uv}$. Since $G/G \cap B \cong S \leq U \wr V$, we may choose subgroups $H_1 \leq H$ of G, containing $G \cap B$, such that $H_1/G \cap B$ is a point stabiliser in S, and $H/G \cap B$ is the stabiliser of a block Δ of size u in S. Hence, $|G : H_1| = uv$ and $|G : H| = v$.

Since $G/G \cap B \cong S \leq U \wr V$ is large, $H^{\Delta} \cong U$. Note also that the permutation action of S corresponds to the action of S on B (by permutation of the direct factors in $B \cong R^{uv}$); hence, since $H/G \cap B$ stabilises a block of size u, H normalises a subgroup $B_1 \cong R^u \leq B$. In the same way, H_1 normalises one of the direct factors in $B \cong R^{uv}$: identify R with this direct factor.

Next, let L be a minimal normal subgroup of R, and, viewing L as a subgroup of $R \leq B$, let K be the direct product of the distinct G-conjugates of L. Also, let $K_1 := K \cap B_1 \cong L^u$. If L is nonabelian, then $G \cap K$ is either trivial or a minimal normal subgroup of G (see [6, proof of Lemma 5.1]), so $d(G) \leq 1 + d(G/G \cap K) \leq a(L) + d(G/G \cap K)$.

Assume now that L is elementary abelian, of order p^a say. Then K_1 is a H-module, generated by the H_1-module L, and $\dim K_1 = u \dim L = |H : H_1| \dim L$. Thus, by [1, Corollary 3, page 56], K_1 is isomorphic to the H-module induced from the H_1-module L. By a similar argument, K, as a G-module, is isomorphic to the G-module induced from the H-module K_1. Hence, since each H-submodule of K_1 can be generated by $2a$ elements by Proposition 2.2, it follows

that each G-submodule of $K \cong K_1 \uparrow_H^G$ can be generated by $2|G:H|a = 2va$ elements. Thus, $d(G) \leq d_G(G \cap K) + d(G/G \cap K) \leq 2va(L) + d(G/G \cap K)$.

We are now ready to prove the lemma by induction on R: by the previous two paragraphs, in each of the cases of L being abelian or nonabelian we have, in particular, $d(G) \leq 2va(L) + d(G/G \cap K)$. If $R = L$ then the result follows, and this can serve as the base step for induction. So assume that $R > L$. Note that $G/G \cap K$ is a large subgroup of the wreath product $R/L \wr S$ satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma, so the inductive hypothesis implies that $d(G/G \cap K) \leq 2va(R/L) + d(S)$. The proof is now complete, since $a(R) = a(R/L) + a(L)$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree n, and let $W = R_1 \wr R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_t$ be a primitive decomposition of G, where each R_i is primitive of degree r_i say. Also, let $d = \mathrm{bl}_W(G)$. If G is primitive, then since $d(\mathrm{Alt}\,(n)) \leq d(\mathrm{Sym}\,(n)) \leq 2$, Theorems 1.3 and 1.8 imply that $f(n)/n^2 \leq 2n \log n/n^2$, which tends to 0 as n tends to ∞.

So we may assume that G is imprimitive. Note that if we set $r' = \prod_{j \leq k} r_j$ and $s' = \prod_{j>k} r_j$, for some $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, then Proposition 1.9 implies that

$$\log |G| \leq r's' \log d \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly we may also assume that $n \geq 51 (> 4^{c_2})$.

Before proceeding, we fix some notation: let $R = R_1$, $S = \pi_1(G) \leq R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_t$, $r = r_1$, and $s = n/r$, so that S is transitive of degree s. Also, if one of the R_j for $j \geq 2$, say R_i, is an alternating or symmetric group of degree d, then set $\widetilde{R} := R_1 \wr R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_{i-1}$, $\widetilde{S} := \pi_{i-1}(G) \leq R_i \wr \ldots \wr R_t$, $\widetilde{r} := \prod_{j<i} r_j$, and $\widetilde{s} := n/\widetilde{r}$. Otherwise, set $\widetilde{R} := R$, $\widetilde{S} := S$, $\widetilde{r} := r$, and $\widetilde{s} := s$. Finally, let $C := c_0 + 2$, where c_0 is as in Theorem 1.6.

We split the remainder of the proof into two cases: suppose first that either $d = r$ or $d \leq \max\{\log \widetilde{r}, \log \widetilde{s}\}$. Then, from the definitions of r, s, $\widetilde{r}$ and $\widetilde{s}$, we see that either $d = r$, or $d \neq r$ and one of the following holds

(a) $d \leq \log \widetilde{s} \leq \log s$, or;

(b) $\log \widetilde{s} < d \leq \log \widetilde{r}$. In this case, either $\widetilde{r} \leq s$, in which case $d \leq \log s$; or $\widetilde{r} > s$, in which case $r > \widetilde{s}$. Since either $\widetilde{s} > d$ or $d = c_2$ and $s = \widetilde{s} = 2$ (recall that $d \neq r$), it follows that $\widetilde{r} > s$ implies that $r > d$.

Now, using Proposition 1.5, there exists a constant b_1' such that

$$d(G) \leq \frac{a(R) b_1' s}{\sqrt{\log s}} + d(\pi(G))$$

Combining this with Theorems 1.2 and 1.6, and the inequality at (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d(G)\log|G|}{n^2} &\leq \frac{(Cb_1'\log r + c_1)s}{\sqrt{\log s}}\frac{rs\log d}{r^2s^2}\\ &= \frac{(Cb_1'\log r + c_1)\log d}{r\sqrt{\log s}}\end{aligned}$$

Since either $d \leq r$ or $d \leq \log s$ (see (a),(b) and the preceding comment above), this goes to 0 if either r or s is increasing, which gives us what we need.

Finally, assume that $d > \max\{\log\widetilde{r}, \log\widetilde{s}\}$, and that $d \neq r$. Since $n = \widetilde{r}\widetilde{s}$ and $n > 4^{c_2}$, we have $d > c_2$, so one of the R_j for $j \geq 2$, must be an alternating or symmetric group of degree d. Thus, by definition we have $\widetilde{R} := R_1 \wr R_2 \wr \ldots \wr R_{i-1}$, $\widetilde{S} := \pi_{i-1}(G) \leq R_i \wr \ldots \wr R_t$, and $\widetilde{r} := \prod_{j<i} r_j$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 and Theorems 1.2 and 1.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}d(G) &\leq \frac{a(\widetilde{R})\widetilde{s}}{d} + d(\widetilde{S}) && \text{(by Lemma 2.3)}\\ &\leq \frac{a(\widetilde{R})\widetilde{s}}{d} + \frac{(2b_1'+c_1)\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}} && \text{(by Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.2)}\\ &\leq \frac{\frac{3}{2}\widetilde{r}\widetilde{s}}{d} + \frac{(2b_1'+c_1)\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}} && \text{(by Theorem 1.7)}\end{aligned}$$

We make a further comment: the second inequality above follows from Proposition 1.5, since $a(R_i) \leq 2$. Combining the last inequality above with the upper bound at (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d(G)\log|G|}{n^2} &\leq \left[\frac{\frac{3}{2}\widetilde{r}\widetilde{s}}{d} + \frac{(2b_1'+c_1)\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}}\right]\frac{\widetilde{r}\widetilde{s}\log d}{\widetilde{r}^2\widetilde{s}^2}\\ &\leq \frac{\frac{3}{2}\log d}{d} + \frac{(2b_1'+c_1)\log d}{\widetilde{r}d\sqrt{\log\frac{\widetilde{s}}{d}}}\end{aligned}$$

Since $d > \max\{\log\widetilde{r}, \log\widetilde{s}\}$, $d \leq \widetilde{s}$ and $n = \widetilde{r}\widetilde{s}$, the result now follows. □

References

[1] Alperin, J.L. *Local Representation Theory.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[2] Cameron, P.J.; Solomon, R.G.; Turull, A. Chains of subgroups in symmetric groups. *J. Algebra* **127** (1989) 340-352.

[3] Holt, D.F.; Roney-Dougal, C.M. Minimal and random generation of permutation and matrix groups. *J. Algebra* **387** (2013) 195-223.

[4] Maroti, A. On the orders of primitive groups. *J. Algebra* **258 (2)** (2002) 631-640.

[5] Pyber, L. Asymptotic results for permutation groups. *Groups and Computation* DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Computer Sci. **11** (ed. Finkelstein, L. and Kantor, W.M., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993) 197-219.

[6] Tracey, G.M. Minimal generation of transitive permutation groups. *preprint*, available from http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.07506v2.